

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,281	09/19/2003	Steven Yellin Schondorf	203-0096	203-0096 2280	
28549	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
KEVIN G. MIERZWA ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			FLEMING, FAYE M		
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			3616		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/605,281		SCHONDORF ET AL	. .		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Faye Fleming		3616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence addre	PSS		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimulation will expire SIX cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timel um of thirty (30) days v ((6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nunication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 19:	35 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from considerati					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in on is required if the d	abeyance. See 3	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1	` '		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been receive have been receive ity documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application e been received).	n No in this National Sta	ıge		
Attachment	·(c)						
1) 🔯 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/03.	Par 5) D Not	erview Summary (P per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Pate ner:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/605,281

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 16-18, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stevenson, et al (20040119599).

Stevenson teaches a device comprising a seatbelt having a buckled state and an unbuckled state; a self-powered wireless switch assembly 16 coupled to the seatbelt wherein the assembly has an energy harvesting element 14 generating electrical power; and a wireless transmitter 18 transmitting a wireless status signal corresponding to the buckled state and the unbuckled state. The energy harvesting element comprises a piezoelectric material and an antenna 22 capturing stray radiant radio frequency energy. The signal comprises a seatbelt location identifier and an indicator 24 coupled to the receiver (see paragraph [0020], line 21-26). The self-powered wireless switch assembly is coupled to a buckled side of the seat-belt. A receiver 20 receives the wireless signal and generates an electrical status signal corresponding to the wireless signal. Stevenson also teaches the antenna 22 is also a control unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 13, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevenson, et al (20040119599).

Regarding claim 6, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the switch coupled to the to tongue of the seat belt, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill.

Regarding claim 13, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a plurality of receivers, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 19 and 20, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a plurality of seatbelt identifications and plurality of seatbelt statuses, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,281

Art Unit: 3616

5. Claims 8-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevenson, et al (20040119599) in view of Gupta, et al (6,688,700).

Stevenson is silent to the seatbelt mounted adjacent to a seat in a vehicle. Gupta teaches a seating system assembled to a vehicle wherein the seat is foldable, removable, and/or non-removable. Based on the teachings of Gupta, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Stevenson to have the seatbelt mounted adjacent to a seat in a vehicle to protect a passenger during an accident.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Notice of References Cited list references disclosing some features in common with the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,281

Art Unit: 3616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Faye Fleming Examiner Art Unit 3616

fmf